(Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA)

(2) 533 Schools (both Secondary and Primary). One School for 1,451 population.	(2) One School for 784 population.
(3) 17 Hospitals (inclusive of Local Funds and District Board Hospitals). Each Hospital serves 45,512 population.	(3) (Total No. of Hospitals 500) One Hospital for 18,304 population.
(4) 4 Veterinary Hospitals. Total number of cattle about 480,000. Each Hospital serves 120,098 cattle.	(4) 205 Veterinary Dispensaries. One for 28,200 cattle.

(*d*) (i) About 92,000 acres under the low level canal of the Tungabhadra Project.

(ii) This has yet to be determined.

(iii) This is still under correspondence and consideration.

(iv) This is still under correspondence and consideration.

[Replies are based on the information furnished by the Special Officer, Bellary].

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Deputation of a Special Officer to Bellary District.

Q.—3. Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state):—

(*a*) whether they had deputed any person to Bellary to collect facts and figures regarding Bellary District ;

(*b*) if so, who was the person;

(*c*) whether he has prepared any report and furnished any figures regarding schools, roads, hospitals and other facilities and on population basis;

(*d*) whether he has made any comparative study as against the same facilities prevailing in Chitaldrug District ;

(*e*) if so, what are the figures and what are the salient features of the report ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) The Special Officer had been deputed.

(*b*) Sri K. Seshagiri Rao.

(*c*) He has furnished figures pertaining to the area.

(*d*) The Special Officer has furnished statements of figures for the seven taluks of Bellary District and comparative figures for the State but not particularly with reference to Chitaldrug District.

(*e*) Please see replies furnished to Question No. 188.

Tungabhadra Project

Q.—4. Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether any representative of Mysore Government attended any conferences regarding Tungabhadra Project ;

(*b*) if so, where, when and how many times ;

(*c*) what was the discussion ;

(*d*) whether they have come to any agreement and if so, what is the agreement ;

(*e*) what is the investment on Tungabhadra Project ;

(*f*) what more is to be spent for the completion of the Project ;

(*g*) what is the area to be brought under cultivation (i) under first stage, (ii) under second stage ;

(*h*) what is the share that Mysore has to pay ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—(Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) At New Delhi; on the 28th and 29th May 1953 on the first occasion and on the 9th July on the second ; twice.

(*c*) The discussions related to the future of the Tungabhadra Project and in particular its completion, administration and maintenance.

(*d*) Tentative conclusions were reached In the first conference, it was

agreed that the project as sanctioned would be binding on all the States concerned. In the second conference, the set-up for completing the irrigation side of the project was agreed upon subject to confirmation by the concerned Governments.

(*e*) According to the figures furnished by the Government of Madras, the estimated cost of the project on the Madras side as at present sanctioned is Rs. 27·64 crores for both irrigation and generation of power. The approximate expenditure up to the end of March 1953 is Rs. 16·54 crores.

(*f*) The balance of expenditure yet to be incurred is about Rs. 11·1 crores.

(*g*) According to figures furnished by Madras, the area to be brought under cultivation under the low level canal is about 2,50,000 acres, and that under the high level canal about 2,00,000 acres.

(*h*) This has not yet been decided.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಪಾರ್ಶ್ವ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸ, ವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಸಹಾಯ, ಸಂಚಾರ ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಮುಂತಾದುವುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೂ ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಈಗ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—What is the cost that has been incurred by the Madras Government on this Tungabhadra project ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಅದೆಲ್ಲಾ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶಗಳಲ್ಲೇ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is the answer to (*e*).

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—What is the share that the Mysore Government will have to bear on this project ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is a matter for negotiation. Conferences are being held and ultimately it is to be determined by agreement.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Is it not a fact that the Planning Commission at Delhi during the first meeting on this Tungabhadra project asked the Mysore Government to pay Rs. 8 crores on these works ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No; it is merely a question of apportionment of the expenditure in proportion to the rights we get. Therefore, actually it is a matter for negotiation and you will find in the Andhra State Bill one of the clauses also making provision for such negotiations, and, in case there is no agreement, finally the Government of India will look to it.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Is it not a fact that South India and especially Mysore is being neglected in the Five Year Plan by the Planning Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That question does not arise.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—In view of the fact that famine is prevailing in these parts, is it not the duty of the Central Government to bear all these costs ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is the view, we may, to our advantage, present before the Conference that is going to be convened.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Should not the Central Government bear costs of the further developments of this project and also other expenditure connected with it ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is the answer to (*h*) that it is not yet decided. In view of the negotiations that are going on, it is better not to probe into these problems now.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—How long did the Special Officer stay in Bellary to collect this information, Sir ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—He was not there continuously. He used to go there Off and on, for the purpose of collecting the information. Sometimes he used to stay there for a week and some times for four or five days. All told, it might not have been more than 15 to 20 days.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Whom all did he meet, Sir ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is not a question that I can answer.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Did he collect this information from the Collectorate or from non-officials ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—From all available sources.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Did he accept any invitation from non-officials for tea and other purposes ?

* Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the Government be pleased to state how many days have the Ministers toured in each district ?

*Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—All the information is furnished here in the answers.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—My question is about the number of days they have toured. But the answer given is about the number of miles and T. A. claims. What I want to know is, how many days in a month did the Ministers tour in each district ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If the Hon'ble Member is particular about that information, I will give him all the tour notes of the Ministers.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, last time, I made a representation that the tour notes of all the Ministers should be supplied to us. I will be very glad if they are supplied to us.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Not the tour notes, but the tour programmes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What is the use of tour programmes ?

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the District Officers would accompany the Ministers during their tours in their districts ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—When the Ministers are touring, the District Officers will be with them on Government work.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that invariably the district officers tour with the Ministers ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—In view of the fact that all the Ministers tour each district, especially Mysore District, does not the Government feel that the work of the district will be dislocated seriously by such constant tours ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir. My Hon'ble friend will agree with me that we go on Government work and Deputy Commissioners also accompany us on Government work. It may be to inspect a tank here, a school there and so on. Therefore, all the time, both the Deputy Commissioners and the Ministers, to the extent they possibly can, will be doing Government work.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the normal-work in the district will be dislocated by the continued absence of the district officers in the headquarters while touring with Ministers ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir. These officers are on Government work when they accompany the Ministers on tour. That is the system of Government we have bargained for.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the District Officers, a day prior and a day after the Ministers' tour, will be busy with the arrangements connected with the Ministers' tour ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—They are things incidental. Any other question ?

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—It is seen that in Bangalore, one of the Ministers would at least be present when the others are away on tour. Can he sign and pass orders on matters pertaining to the portfolio of other Ministers ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir. Ministers some times carry files with them on tour and orders can be signed anywhere. It is not necessary that orders should be passed in the Secretariat alone.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Two-thirds of the month is taken away by the Ministers' tours; are not the people inconvenienced when they do not find them at Bangalore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is not a question of complaint. Everybody knows, including the Hon'ble Member, that we have not been complying even with one per cent of the requests that are made to us for the purposes of touring in all the constituencies. In fact, we have become a little unpopular for not complying with the requests of the people for touring in their respective areas.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—From the statement furnished by Government, has it not been noticed by Government that none of the Ministers have toured Kolar District in the months of May and June ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It may be so in that particular month. But we have toured Kolar District. Probably we have toured Kolar District many times more than the other districts.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—My question is, from the statement furnished, has it not come to the notice of the Government that Kolar being an affected area, it has not been toured in the months of May and June ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is an answer provided.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Has it not been noticed is my question ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—An answer is given, Sir.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—What was the nature of official business at Ooty ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Usually the Ministers go to Ooty. This is not the first time that that is being done. That is in the nature of tradition from a long time.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is Ooty a part of Mysore State ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Let us hope it will become, Sir.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Were the Hon'ble Ministers the guests of the State Government to which Ooty belonged ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir. The Governor wrote a letter to me to be his guest ; but we have our own building there and I stayed in the building allotted for the Head of the Administration.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Did the Hon ble the Chief Minister entertain any State Guests with him at Ooty ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That question does not arise.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—What was the nature of the official business at Ooty ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Wherever we go, we do official work. If you come and see, you will see it for yourself.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not in the interest of Mysore that the Chief Minister should keep the best of health ? (*Laughter*).

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Minister promise at the last Session that he would supply tour notes to the Members ? Was not a promise made to supply details of the various tours ? During April Session, did the Government not give an assurance that they would place on the table the tour notes of the Ministers for one year ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I will look into that question.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have they prepared any tour notes regarding these tours ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—What we do is, we give instructions and the concerned Department will note them. Again it will come to us as to what progress has been made in that direction. That is not being done today only. It was being done all the time by the Mysore Government.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not the practice that instructions are printed and supplied to all the officers ? If those printed copies are available, will they be placed on the table of the House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—This is a matter of procedure. I do not want to say anything on the subject now.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have no tour notes been prepared for the Government work done by the Chief Minister at Ooty during this period ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That question does not arise.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What was the particular work that was done during these six days by the other Minister that visited Ooty ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He being the Revenue and Public Works Minister, might have inspected Government buildings.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is any Minister entitled to visit Ooty according to M.S.R. ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ. ಅದು ಹಿಂದಿನ ಹಳೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು (ನಗು) !

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Ministers are not governed by rules.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Are not Ministers Public servants ? Is there not a rule under the M.S.R. governing Ministers ?

(No answer.)

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is there no relevant rule which governs the conduct of Ministers under the M.S.R. ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Conduct is quite different from rules.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Even with regard to rules, is there any provision in the M.S.R. empowering the Ministers to visit Ooty except on Government business and what is the Government business that was carried on by these Ministers there?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The question was already answered.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—There was absolutely no business for the Ministers.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is not a court.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—None of the Ministers had absolutely any business at Ooty except spending a holiday.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How do you know?

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I know it. Let them say what Government business was there for them to do.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I would like to make it clear. This is too personal a question and I did not expect this kind of questioning by the Leader of the Opposition. I might say it is not a question of evasion. We take files and do Government work wherever we go, even at Ooty. It is not as if we were spending all the time for ourselves. Besides, as I have already said, this is not for the first time that a Minister or a Head of the Government went to Ooty. It has become a practice.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that only the Head of the Administration with the permission of the then Ruler, the Maharaja, used to visit Ooty and no other Minister had any right to go there except on holiday after taking leave?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The constitutional position has changed and the Ministers today are not subordinates of the Dewan. They have equal rights as other Ministers and they are entitled to equal facilities.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Can the Chief Minister show me relevant clause or provision in the Constitution which empowers Ministers to go outside the State?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I was myself one of the members of the Constituent Assembly and we did not think it worthwhile to include T.A. and D.A. and tour rules in the Constitution.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I want to know what are the relevant rules or Sections which empower the Ministers to go outside the State either to Ooty or to London or to Europe, which say that they will be on duty if they are outside the State?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is a matter for discretion and whenever a Minister feels that he should go to Ooty or even to London he will go, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—With reference to question (*a*) (iii) you have not stated the nature of Government business. With reference to question (*b*) you have stated that the Minister is always on duty and there is no provision for grant of leave to Ministers.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—When the Hon'ble Member was a Minister if he was not all the time on duty, it is not my fault.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I was never on duty both day and night. (*Laughter*). It is said that the Ministers is always on duty and there is no provision for grant of leave to Ministers. Even if the Minister is absent for months together, is it to be presumed that he is on duty?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It all depends upon the circumstances of the case.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—There is no provision for grant of leave to Ministers. That is what is stated here. Is it not a fact that the conduct and service of the Minister is governed by M.S.R. like any other public servant? May I draw the attention of the Chief Minister to annexure 3 of the M.S.R.?

(No answer.)

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM. I will elicit more information under Rule 39.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Provided it is allowed.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Everything is subject to the discretion of the Speaker.

Policy adopted in auctioning excise shops.

Q.—73. Sri G. NARAYANA GOWDA (Mulbagal-Srinivasapur.)—